

Humint Events Online

Dedicated to fighting authoritarianism, bigotry, greed, corruption, climate change denial, white supremacy, racism, stupidity and general evil, as well as the exploration of interesting ideas and conspiracy theories including 9/11, UFOs, ET's, the paranormal and the general unknown.

Saturday, August 25, 2007

More Evidence & Testimony Indicating Nuclear Blasts, Nuclear Radiation, & China Syndrome at the WTC

By The Anonymous Physicist

Sgt. Matthew Tartaglia, a WTC responder, rescue worker, counselor, and FEMA consultant has made many remarkable statements related to the nuking of the WTC, and its China Syndrome aftermath.

Tartaglia, said he believes “tactical nukes” took down the towers, and was responsible for the high temperatures weeks and months later (but does not know of the existence of the China Syndrome.) His statements include these: “...There were only certain parts of the site that you could not legally leave without going through decontamination....They would tackle you and take your camera away. I watched people be tackled.” Most responders couldn’t go “down in the garages...The rescue people – when our clothes got so contaminated, we were told not to bring our clothes off that site. Don’t wear anything on the site you’re not prepared to leave there because it’s contaminated.” Note that “discarding clothing”, and “going through decontamination” are standard nuclear industry methods of dealing with radiation-exposed individuals. I do not know if other industries necessitate the use of these methods as well.

In 2005, Sgt. Tartaglia said, “My teeth are falling out.” Like hanging skin resulting from a nuclear bomb, teeth falling out is a common symptom, months or years later, from nuclear radiation exposure. (Other factors can also possibly cause this, but are less likely.) Read about [this Army veteran sent to Hiroshima, just days after it was nuked, to bulldoze roads](#). This was apparently much too soon to send Americans in to Hiroshima, but the PTB apparently cared as much about Americans, as they did about the Japanese women and children who were nuked. I note that after the July 16, 1945 Trinity “A-Bomb” test, [Dr. J. Robert Oppenheimer and General Leslie Groves did not return to Ground Zero until five weeks later on Sept 11, 1945](#). Yes, there is 9/11 again. So man did not walk again on the first alleged Ground Zero which resulted from the “Manhattan Project” until 9/11/45. Also read [here](#) about 3 veterans of atomic bomb tests in Nevada in the 1950’s who had their teeth fall out within a few years of their radiation exposure. [To quickly find the 3 veterans cited, search on “teeth.”] That article also indicates that the gov’t lied to them as to the amount of radiation they had received. It is excerpted from the book, “They Never Knew: The Victims of Atomic Testing”, [not read here] by Glenn Alan Cheney. See also “The Plutonium Files” by Welsome, and the two books on the “Making of the A-Bomb”, and the H-Bomb, “Dark Sun”, both by Rhodes—all three read here.

But please read this (portions of the book, “They Never Knew”) in its entirety. It includes the following

remarkable quote that may be very relevant to 9/11 research. A whistleblower came forward decades later about the actual radiation exposure Army personnel were subjected to. "In 1982, a former Army medic, Van R. Brandon, admitted that he had been ordered to keep two sets of books. "One set was to show that no one received an exposure above the approved dosimeter reading," he said. "The other set of books was to show what the actual reading was. That set of books was brought in a locked briefcase attached to [an officer]'s wrist by a set of handcuffs every morning." Army personnel were denied medical benefits and disability because the regime publicly used the "cooked" book. Do you think this same govt has gotten more or less evil, corrupt, and duplicitous, in the ensuing decades--and in a matter that relates to possibly irradiating tens of thousands of WTC workers, responders, and near-by residents???

The [statements made by Mike Pecoraro](#), a WTC1 stationary engineer, and 9/11 survivor, are also remarkable. Pecoraro first knew something was wrong after observing flickering lights (EMP?), and then he ascended to the sub-basement C level, from below. He says, "There was nothing there but rubble, we're talking about a 50 ton hydraulic press gone!" Pecoraro and a co-worker "made their way to the parking garage, but found that it, too, was gone"... As they ascended to the B Level, one floor above, they "were astonished to see a steel and concrete fire door that weighed about 300 pounds, wrinkled up like a piece of aluminum foil" and lying on the floor. Now I assert that this too is a likely sign that a nuclear bomb went off. Perhaps only the multi-million degree temperature at the hypocenter, or neutron bombardment, is capable of doing that to that heavy steel door. The article continues: ""They got us again,"" Mike told his co-worker, referring to the terrorist attack at the center in 1993. Having been through that bombing, Mike recalled seeing similar things happen to the building's structure." This last statement from Pecoraro, I assert may be corroboration of nuclear engineer/geologist Phil Schneider's statement that his inspection of the 1993 WTC explosion damage revealed to him that it was a nuclear blast, that may have gone awry, that is, was insufficient. (See my previous articles at wtcdemolition.blogspot.com.) Pecoraro states that as WTC2 "collapsed," "there was a wind that came through the revolving doors that blew me [in the WTC1 lobby area] 100 feet to the far wall" Was this a nuclear pressure blast? You've probably seen the videos of A-bomb test sites, and resultant winds blowing down model houses, and heat causing fires. Like [this](#) and [this](#).

More evidence of the likely nuking of the WTC, and China Syndrome aftermath, comes from no less than CNN's Larry King Show. This show aired on 10/6/01, and was taped at the WTC, and a nearby burn unit, in the days prior to the air-date. The transcript is [here](#).

Regarding the likely China Syndrome of high heat three weeks later, Thomas Von Essen, NYC Fire Commissioner, says, at the WTC, "...it's so hot, it's a really hot fire. The steel has been hot for three weeks now. Tremendous heat below, you know. It's -- the fire is not out down below." From my earlier articles, you know that this went on for at least six months after 9/11.

At the Weill Burn Center at the Cornell Medical Hospital, Larry King interviews two women who received burns—without any fire—while trying to flee WTC1.

King: "So, did you know you were on fire, in a sense?"

Yang: "No, I wasn't on fire, I think it was from the heat."

Mary Jo: "That's what we were told, it was the heat."

So like Felipe David, like the Hiroshima survivors, these two women have no clue as to why their skin was burned. And who told them “it was from the heat”? And if it was the doctors, who told them to say this to the patients? Note that King says “...fire in a sense.” I assert that that sense is not fire per se, but bombardment by radiation (thermal rays, gamma rays, neutrons) that can cause heat at the skin, and damage the skin—if the flux is large enough (relevant parameters include distance, shielding, intensity and type of radiation).

King also interviews burn victim Brian Reeves, a security guard starting his rounds in the lobby of one of the towers. Reeves says: “I don’t know when I got burned, but I just know when it knocked me over, there was – there was something, the windows blew out. And when the windows blew out, I was on the ground, and like I said, there was a gust of wind. And when I opened my eyes, I seen a bright orange light.” Reeves, feeling heat on his back, takes his jacket off and falls on the ground while fleeing. There is the issue of whether his jacket is on fire or not. [Months later, the media would morph the “bright orange light” into “fireball.”] We must ask, did Reeves see the flash of, and receive the radiation, and air pressure blast from, a mini-nuke? Like the Hiroshima victims, he knows there had to be “something” but he/they didn’t see what burned him/them. In [this video](#), months after 9/11, Reeves then says “the fire was this close to my face” (and holds his hand near his face). He did not say any such thing 2-3 weeks after 9/11, when interviewed by King.

Getting back to the King show, Dr. Roger Yurt of the Weill Burn Center says, “[Reeve’s] worst burns were on his back, some burns up on his head. Burns on your arms also.” My possible interpretation is the following. Likely his jacket was not on fire (note the burns on his head), and the jacket likely shielded him from receiving even more radiation! The source of which was apparently behind him, as his burns were mostly on his back, but also on his head. But if the flux of radiation is high enough, a person and/or their clothes will catch fire from the radiation. Many Hiroshima victims were immediately killed and left in a charred state. The “bright orange flash” he “saw” may well have been a flux of radiation onto his retina. This kind of thing is again known from Army veterans of the nuclear blasts in Nevada in the 1950’s. In the worst cases, numerous Army personnel were forced to be, in ditches, only a football field away from an atomic bomb going off!

But when Brian tells his story months later, the reporter adds “fireball” several times in her telling the story. Even the direction of the alleged fireball is cleverly, and falsely, inserted: “... a fireball that roared down the elevator shaft.” In actuality, from numerous lobby, and sub-basement witnesses, a non-fireball, a likely nuclear detonation, occurred below the lobby, and its effects traveled UP a few floors—and not down some 80-90 floors from the likely conventional explosion above. In any case, Reeves did not observe what may, or may not, have been traversing the elevator shaft. It appears that in the telling of the 9/11 survivors’ accounts, the MSM, and the 911 pseudo-truth media, are doing everything they can to add “fire” and “fireball” to the later retelling of numerous survivors’ tales. And these survivors, who did not report “fire” initially, and not knowing about such things as radiation-induced burns—without any fire—may later start including this in their own accounts, after doctors, or reporters, or hidden (or not so hidden) handlers either keep repeating the “fire” and “fireball” memes to them; or perhaps in some cases threatening/rewarding the survivors to start telling it the way their handlers demand. Never forget how FBI, or secret service agents, both on the scene in Dallas and later during depositions, threatened eyewitnesses to President Kennedy’s assassination, if they either [said they clearly saw the Secret Service driver do it](#), or that there also were shots from the grassy knoll. Experts in eyewitness testimony tell us that the most accurate account is the closest in time to the event.

Remember how sub-basement, 9/11 burn victim Felipe David's own accounting never mentions "fire" or "fireball," but his alleged rescuer, [William Rodriguez added fire or fireball to his accounting of David's experiences](#). You will have to be the judge after reading survivors' accounts shortly after the event, and then months later after media/(govt?) interviews. This is a crucial point in the likely tampering of witnesses to a heinous crime. It is particularly odious as this may be ongoing from deep-cover intel assets in the major, AND internet "truth", media. But if the government/media had nothing to fear, or cover-up, why do they have to change so many burn victims' testimonies? Likewise they are frequently inserting "plane hits" into statements, when the witness couldn't possibly have seen that, even if the plane hits were real, instead of CGI.

I believe we are only scratching the surface regarding evidence of the use of mini-nukes on 9/11. Many fire and police witnesses fear losing their jobs, or their pensions. Beginning a month after 9/11, NYC Fire Commissioner von Essen's office took depositions of 503 fire personnel, port authority police and EMT first responders. The report is 12,000 pages long and rarely read [and not read here]. [It was deliberately excluded from the 911 Commission, and NIST, reports \(scroll down at link\)](#). Could they be hiding evidence, not of the widely known explosions/controlled demolition, but specifically of the nuking of the towers and 3000 people? After von Essen's 503 witness interviews, former CIA director Robert Woolsey, was inserted into this in 2002, as the NYC Fire Department's "Anti-terrorism Consultant." (It's interesting that Wikipedia omits this job in his bio.) He issued a gag order, under threat of job loss and worse, down the ranks, under the guise of "anti-terrorism." This report is the one that includes EMT, Patricia Ondrovic' [See my articles on her [here](#)] heavily redacted, but remarkable interview. Recall my analysis demonstrated she witnessed Electromagnetic Pulse from a nuclear bomb causing flickering lights and making cars catch fire, for no apparent reason ("toasted cars"), right near her, and also jets shooting down other jets in the sky over the Hudson. Was Woolsey in charge of the redacting, of her, and other, testimony? I make the assertion that the very purpose of interviewing these 503 9/11 witnesses and responders was to find out who had witnessed evidence of the nuking of the WTC, and to then threaten, and silence them! Perhaps too many to kill, so first try to silence them! [Here are the full 503 interviews](#). It would be good if some real 911 researcher could read them all or search for when portions are redacted. Note my scanning them indicates that numerous witnesses report "explosions;" this was not reason enough for redaction!

There is other eyewitness (or should I say skinwitness) evidence, I believe of the heat, and radiation, wave which emanates outwards from a nuclear blast from numerous people who happened to be in the vicinity of the towers. [This article](#) states that "John Axisa, who was getting off a commuter train to the World Trade Center, ...[after the alleged first plane hit]... Then there was a second explosion, and he felt heat on the back of [his] neck." Note the timing of the "second" explosion he heard--nothing from which was seen exiting the building--and yet he felt the heat at that exact time! This again could only be from a nuke, I assert. (The DEW hangout theorists always say their alleged DEW is cold.) Also at [a 911 forum](#), a forum administrator named Quest noted that, "I have an acquaintance who was a NYC cop on 9/11 when the second tower came down. He was 3 blocks away and told me there was "incredible heat" during the "collapse".' Heat indeed is the thing that would be felt furthest out from a nuclear blast. Read [this account](#) of physicist, Dr. Phillip Morrison 10 miles from the Trinity nuclear test site. He said, "Suddenly, not only was there a bright light, but where we were, 10 miles away, there was the heat of the sun on our faces." [This was before the Sun came up.] So we see the similarity of statements, regarding heat during a nuclear blast, made by the Trinity witness, John Axisa, Quest's policeman, Felipe David, and the three burn victims interviewed by Larry King. I have written that the

nukes used on 9/11 were 1/100th to 1/1000th (each) of the intensity of the Trinity blast (with several per tower used, and only one per smaller WTC buildings) and we also have some shielding by the building. So the distance that radiation would be able to propagate would be vastly less than the 10 miles felt by Dr. Morrison (noted above), where the test was in the open air. Those in the towers, and nearby, would indeed be bombarded by the (unseen) radiation from a mini-nuke. Given these ideas from this physicist, who has taught physics at several universities, can Quest please further interview his cop friend, and get back to us here at comments, or via email to Spooked?

There has been at least [one study published](#) of WTC responders' medical problems treated on scene, from 9/14/01 to 11/20/1, at the WTC. This was published in May-June, 2005, of "Prehospital and Disaster Medicine," and was authored by: K.R. Peritt, W.L. Boal, and "The Helix Group, Inc." This third "author" listed is a media corporation. (I did not know that corporations, per se, could now be authors?) This study related to a 10 week period whereby a Federal govt agency, the "United States Public Health Service (USPHS), deployed Disaster Medical Assistance Teams (DMATs) and the Commissioned Corps to provide on-site, primary medical care to anyone who presented." The authors conclusion admits, the "USPHS visits probably were skewed to milder complaints when compared to analyses of employer medical department reports or hospital cases..." This appears to mean that the more serious cases went straight to the hospital. There were 9,349 on-site patient visits, which included some surrounding residents. There were 30 cases of nausea and vomiting. Let us look at skin conditions reported. There were 253 1st or 2nd degree burns, of which 107 (42%) were said to be related to equipment use. There is no word on what caused the other 58% of these burns. In addition, there are 132 cases of "other" skin problems reported. There is not a single case of third degree burns (the most serious), and no comment on this lack! Were there people with more serious burns that went straight to the hospital, and thus are not counted? There are some curious statistics: "Other injury or illness: 696 cases," and "Not classifiable as an injury or illness: 920 cases." That's quite a lot of cases that are either "other" or "not classifiable," about 17%. Why such a high percentage? They're not "psychological" because they reported 78 cases of this. Is any medical condition being covered up? Did they test for radiation which is not mentioned? And what caused the 146 cases of burns that were not due to equipment handling? And how many people had third degree burns among the responders? Or is this classified information, related to what really was happening at the WTC, even after its demolition? This study is very troubling, and looks very "doctored."

In conclusion now, this article, and my previous articles archived at wtcdemolition.blogspot.com and wtc-ChinaSyndrome.blogspot.com indicate the following. The proven existence of [mini-nukes](#) and [micro-nukes](#), the massive outward explosions seen, the vaporization of a steel press, the wrinkling into "foil" of a steel door, spherical blast wave destruction, the "nuclear meteorite,[parts of 4 storeys fused together at extreme temp.,]" the feeling of heat without fire of numerous witnesses, the burned or hanging skin-without fire, the responders' teeth later falling out, the vaporized building contents, the 1157 vaporized people, the massive evidence of the China Syndrome of resultant nuclear reacting fragments causing the very high heat and molten steel witnessed by many at least six months after 9/11, and photographed, the clothing discarding, and decontamination procedures, my detailed explanation of Ondrovic' account of Electromagnetic Pulse and resultant car fire, and the door exploding into her as WTC 5, 6, were being exploded from within, near her, the micro- or nano-fine particle size, and much more, all indicate the federal regime set off numerous mini-nuclear bombs in the WTC on 9/11. The witnesses that my articles have cited, included numerous WTC workers, numerous firefighters, EMT worker, responders, stationary engineer, fire engineering professor, top British and American Structural Engineers who have been shown "secret" photos denied to the rest of

us, NYC Commissioner, NYC Fire Commissioner, policeman, and others. Many (or the vast majority of the 503 who were found) other on-scene witnesses have—for the moment—likely been silenced, as detailed herein—which is witness tampering, and worse. Scientific data have also been cited, including —AVIRIS, LIDAR, seismic readings before “collapse”, Tritium finding (UCAL/Berkeley, and subsequent Tahil study), and the now exploding number of “rare” cancers among responders, including thyroid, leukemia, and lymph cancer—all common among radiation victims. Other data, I have asserted has been altered, or is totally hidden—second long-delayed AVIRIS data set, and the lack of any further AVIRIS released.

I assert and accuse— J’Accuse”—that the preponderance of this evidence demonstrates that the federal government of the United States of America did explode nuclear bombs inside most of the WTC buildings on 9/11/01. Furthermore, there was a resultant China Syndrome (through mechanisms detailed here by me previously) of nuclear reacting fragments releasing ionizing radiation, and high heat, for at least six months after 9/11, causing molten steel, and radiation exposure to thousands of responders and NYC residents. This was an act of treason, conspiracy, mass murder, genocide, and a ruse for waging war on innocent peoples around the world—crimes against humanity, and a ruse for blatantly eradicating American citizens freedoms and rights. The 9/11 WTC nuclear holocaust was not likely even the first time the American regime did this to its own citizens! Too few people know of this research into the American government’s [probable nuking of American sailors in 1944](#).

The 9/11 perpetrators have used, and are using, limited hangouts and psyops to keep the people from knowing this. These hangouts, ultimately from the U.S. regime’s “intelligence” agencies, include the bogus “theories” of the official planes/fuel/gravity/pancaking nonsense, and also thermite, DEW, and Depleted Uranium [D.U.] (any uranium used on 9/11 at the WTC, and the China Syndrome aftermath, would have been highly enriched, not depleted). The observed American military helicopters and planes directing the initial explosions, and the final nuclear destruction prove that this was not done by Arabs, Muslims, Iranians, Israelis, Russians, or Chinese. As with the Kennedy Assassination, this massive set of actions, and massive cover-up, could only have been perpetrated by the so-called government of the United States, and not by any small “rogue elements” therein. Complicit in the original act, and/or its cover-up, are all the branches of the federal government, its military, and intelligence agencies, and the Main Stream Media, and even much of the so-called alternative or internet media which is also laden with intel agents posing as “truthers,” while pushing the hangouts listed above. **The people of the world must get together, in what may be their final hour, and act literally to save themselves from extermination, from the handful of monsters that control humanity. Nature has demonstrated that individuals trained to be fearful can all come together, and overcome this fear, and act and defeat the small number who had previously seemed to be so invincible and bloodthirsty.** We are indeed likewise in the jaws of these monsters, and have been for a long time; but likewise again, it is still possible to break free, and be free. We must try. Like this last video, there are so many more of us, than of them.

[spooked at 11:06 AM](#)

Share

17 comments:

Anonymous 12:27 PM

"As with the Kennedy Assassination, this massive set of actions, and massive cover-up, could only have been perpetrated by the so-called government of the United States, and not by any small "rogue elements" therein."

Nonsense.

See: <http://tinyurl.com/2ankq5>

Early Wynn

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 2:41 PM

in fact, AP's analyses of JFK assassination is much more in-depth than
<http://tinyurl.com/2ankq5>
which barely seems to be on a par even with the likes of debunking911.com

anyway, why do you care so much if AP says that greer the driver shot JFK?

are you simply trying to distract from this much more pertinent info?:

sgt. mathew tartaglia said he believes "tactical nukes" took down the towers, and was responsible for the high temperatures weeks and months later....there were only certain parts of the site that you could not legally leave without going through decontamination....when our clothes got so contaminated, we were told not to bring our clothes off that site. Don't wear anything on the site you're not prepared to leave there because it's contaminated.

Note that "discarding clothing", and "going through decontamination" are standard nuclear industry methods of dealing with radiation-exposed individuals.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 3:18 PM

The phony physicist said:

"in fact, AP's analyses of JFK assassination is much more in-depth than
<http://tinyurl.com/2ankq5>"

Response: It's easy to prove that the phony physicist's theory of the JFK assassination is hogwash. Likewise, her theories about the Moon being a hollow, artificial object containing military bases, aliens from outer space were found in a crashed UFO in New Mexico and their corpses are stored at an Air Force base in Ohio.

Disinformation Agents have long used the tactic of promoting bizarre, outlandishly absurd theories to distract, disrupt, misdirect, and divide people.

Early Wynn

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 3:35 PM

Spooked:

Don't you think it is more than high time to terminate this robot/troll?

It was clearly sent here with foul intentions by the regime's "intel" agencies, and is activated every time some truth on several crucial matters appears. By its repeated, ludicrous posts, these apparently include the regime's assassination of President Kenedy, and the alien issue. It clearly isn't interested much, if at all, on 9/11 issues anyways.

It seeks to divide, confuse and defuse what you are trying to do with your blog.

Please get rid of this worthless, disruptive and blatant troll/robot.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 3:36 PM

first of all wynn, i am not AP - and i only stick up for his info, not his person.

have you refuted his info?

<http://tinyurl.com/2ankq5> = not.

AP has not said there are military bases on the moon nor has he made even a small deal out of roswell, yet you have harped on those subjects more than once; speaking of disinfo! (yourself)

h is for ha, fool.

[Reply](#)



spooked 4:20 PM

I would much rather not delete any comments-- except for rare circumstances, such as when someone is advocating violence. I frankly do not even know how to ban someone from commenting and do not have the time to figure out how.

My suggestion is to ignore trolls, as they will usually go away when ignored.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 4:24 PM

9/11 was an inside job. It wasn't the first and it won't be the last.

Frozen smoke is a major advance and will become increasingly important as its potential is tapped for commercial use.

Has the phony physicist always been insecure and defensive?

Early Wynn

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 4:32 PM

Spooked:

It's hard to ignore nonsense whenever the troll is given a prominent platform to publish it and all we can do to expose their BS is via posting a comment.

Early Wynn

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 9:48 PM

Google and type in "9/11 ... Arrest Bush 41"

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 10:19 PM

aha - so there really is a [frozen smoke](#), just as wynn said that the newest ufos are constructed of...right after he said that any consideration of ufos was "fantastical".

so which it wynn?

are ufos fantasty or are the newest one's constructed of frozen smoke?

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 10:57 AM

If you see a UFO that was constructed with frozen smoke, I'd say that's definitely one fantastical sight.

Early Wynn

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 2:24 PM

Fascinating how this troll took an article on 9/11 and has tried to swing it to "UFOs".

Yes, he is trying to blow smoke (frozen or otherwise) up everyone's asses.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 9:55 AM

UFOs and frozen farts and 10,000 words of the shits are about all that anything the Anonymous Butt Pirate is good for.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 12:57 PM

There you go, Early Wynn, aka swart of bullshit, aka anonymous jerks. That's more like it!

There is actually less crap (although it's there) in that post than when you post under your other name, Early Wynn.

Ask for another assignment if they give you a choice.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 1:02 PM

Hey Early, 9:55, we've asked you not to post anymore about your own sex habits, but people can only comment about what they know well.

[Reply](#)

Anonymous 9:09 PM

What do other 9/11 researchers have to say about the phony physicist's pontifications on the Moon, JFK's assassination by his limo driver, new clear power and all the rest?

Are his tomes cited and praised at sites like DU, Team 8, and the others where such luminaries as the "Web Fairy" et al. post?

Early Wynn

[Reply](#)



edward19 12:05 PM

The 911 WTC "Traces of Tritium" Lie Is Obstruction of Justice by Accessories to Murder
This is not 'rocket science', just basic math, law and lies.

1. "Obstruction of Justice" - <http://definitions.uslegal.com/o/obstruction-of-justice/> - "hiding evidence" is part of the classic textbook definition of Obstruction of Justice. To "conceal" or lie about evidence of a crime makes one an accessory after the fact to that crime.
http://www.sagepub.com/lippmanstudy/state/oh/Ch06_Ohio.pdf

2. Trace definition as it applies to quantity:

Occurring in extremely small amounts or in quantities less than a standard limit (In the case of tritium, this standard level would be 20 TUs - the high of quoted standard background levels.).
<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/trace>

3. The stated values of tritium from the DOE report "Study of Traces of Tritium at the World Trade Center". "A water sample from the WTC sewer, collected on 9/13/01, contained 0.164 ± 0.074 (26) nCi/L (164 pCi/L +/- 74 pCi/L - takes 1,000 trillionths to = 1 billionth) of HTO. A split water sample, collected on 9/21/01 from the basement of WTC Building 6, contained 3.53 ± 0.17 and 2.83 ± 0.15 nCi/L (3,530.0 pCi/L +/- 170 pCi/L and 2,830 pCi/L +/- 150 pCi/L), respectively. <https://e-reports-ext.llnl.gov/pdf/241096.pdf> Pico to Nano converter - <http://www.unitconversion.org/prefixes/picos-to-nanos-conversion.html> Nano to Pico converter - <http://www.unit-conversion.info/metric.html>

4. 1 TU = 3.231 pCi/L (trillionths per liter) or 0.003231 nCi/L (billionths per liter) - <http://www.hps.org/publicinformation/ate/q2282.html> - (My original TU calculations came out to 3.19 pCi/L, but I will gladly accept these referenced minimally higher values.
<http://www.clayandiron.com/news.jhtml?method=view&news.id=1022>)

5. In 2001 normal background levels of Tritium are supposedly around 20 TUs (prior to nuclear testing in the 60's, normal background tritium water levels were 5 to 10 TUs - <http://www.hps.org/publicinformation/ate/q2282.html>). However, groundwater studies show a significantly less water concentration:

Groundwater age estimation using tritium only provides semi-quantitative, "ball park" values:

- <0.8 TU indicates submodern water (prior to 1950s)
- 0.8 to 4 TU indicates a mix of submodern and modern water
- 5 to 15 TU indicates modern water (<5 to 10 years)
- 15 to 30 TU indicates some bomb tritium

<http://www.grac.org/agedatinggroundwater.pdf> But, instead of "5 to 15 TU" (which would make the increase in background levels even higher), I will use 20 TUs as the 2001 environmental level to give all possible credibility to the lie of "Traces".

6. Let's calculate the proven referenced facts. Tritium level confirmed in the DOE report of traces of tritium = 3,530 pCi/L (+/- 170 pCi/L, but we will use the mean of 3,530 pCi/L).

3,530 pCi/L (the referenced lab value) divided by the background level of 20 TUs (20×3.231 p (1 TU = 3.21 pCi/L) = 64.62 pCi/L as the high normal background/standard level. 3,530 divided by 64.62 pCi/L = 54.63 TIMES THE NORMAL BACKGROUND LEVEL. 3,530 pCi/L divided by 3.231 pCi/L (1 TU) = 1,092.54 TUs

7. This is my 'fave' because lies tend to eat their young. Muon physicist Steven Jones calls 1,000 TUs "The graphs below show that hydrogen-bomb testing boosted tritium levels in rain by several orders of magnitude. (Ref.:

<http://www.science.uottawa.ca/~eih/ch7/7tritium.htm>)
<http://www.journalof911studies.com/letters/a/Hard-Evidence-Rebudiates-the-Hypothesis-that-Mini-Nukes-were-used-on-the-wtc-towers-by-steven-jones.pdf> Yet, calls the EXACT SAME LEVELS quoted in nCi/L as "Traces" and "These results are well below the levels of concern to human exposure...".
<http://www.journalof911studies.com/letters/a/Hard-Evidence-Rebudiates-the-Hypothesis-that-Mini-Nukes-were-used-on-the-wtc-towers-by-steven-jones.pdf> Interesting isn't it.

8. Thomas M. Semkowa, Ronald S. Hafner, Pravin P. Parekha, Gordon J. Wozniakd, Douglas K. Hainesa, Liaquat Husaina, Robert L. Rabune. Philip G. Williams and Steven Jones have all called over 1,000 TUs of Tritium, "Traces". Even at the height of nuclear bomb testing - after thousands of Megatons of nuclear testing - 98% of the rainwater tests were 2,000 TUs or less.

<https://e-reports-ext.llnl.gov/pdf/241096.pdf>

9. Over one year ago, Steven Jones, Alex Jones, Fetzer, Wood, most of the "BYU crew", most of the so called "911 Truth" groups/sites and indeed the public at large have been notified by me of the falseness of the "Traces" lie, but instead of promoting the truth and addressing it, have simply run from it and seem to be doing all in their power to suppress it. <http://www.rense.com/general80/prov.htm>

10. It is also important to note that the tritium present was diluted by at least some portion of 1 million liters of water accounting for BILLIONS of TUs. <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/EdWard-MD/message/136>

Thermate, C4, Micro Nukes Prove 911 Was an Inside/Outside Job.

<http://www.rense.com/general80/dprah.htm>

The above are my opinion based on the proven referenced facts.

Ed Ward, MD

<http://neworleans.indymedia.org/news/2009/03/13746.php>

[Reply](#)



Enter Comment



[Home](#)



[View web version](#)

Powered by [Blogger](#).